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Overview

In late 2013, the IRS issued new repair regulations that became effective as of January 1, 2014. Since the new repair regulations were issued, clarifying guidance has been and continues to be issued. The IRS issued Notice 2015–82 in late November 2015, which increased the de minimis amount from $500 to $2,500 beginning January 1, 2016. This increased amount is part of the repair regulations that were issued and found in Treas. Reg. § 1.263(a)–1(f)(1), which applies to taxpayers who do not have an applicable financial statement (AFS). Most farmers and ranchers will not have an AFS. The immediate tax benefit is that farmers and ranchers (as well as other business operators) can make the annual election to deduct as a current business expense items that prior to 2014 would have been capitalized and depreciated over the item’s tax life. Farmers and ranchers should have a written accounting policy which states that items costing $2,500 or less will be expensed. This discussion is intended to help farmers and ranchers to make an informed decision about whether or not to make the annual election to use the De Minimis Safe Harbor.

Application

Under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) § 162 ordinary and necessary business expenses that have a use period of less than one year are generally allowed to be deducted in the year that payment is made by taxpayers. Such farm expenses, for example, may include but are not limited to feed, repairs, supplies, veterinary costs, and business utilities. The de minimis safe harbor now allows taxpayers to deduct in the current year some expenses, which in prior years were required to be capitalized and depreciated, as if they were ordinary and necessary business expenses. Several requirements need to be met in order to employ the de minimis safe harbor:

1. The farmer or rancher must have an invoice that provides detail relative to the purchase he or she wishes to deduct. For example, a farmer purchased an equipment trailer for $2,400 and had an accounting policy
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If Goldi’s dairy operation was of sufficient scale that she was selling many cull cows that had been purchased as replacement heifers, Goldi may indeed want to not elect the de minimis safe harbor. Instead, Goldi might be better off capitalizing these heifers, using the IRC section 179 expense election to accomplish the same income tax outcome in the year the heifers are placed into service. Then, upon subsequent sale as a cull cow, she would recapture the depreciation (the expensed amount under section 179) but recognize the $500 as an IRC section 1231 gain, which—if a net gain—will be treated as capital gain and taxed under preferential income tax rates of 0%, 15%, or 20% depending on the taxpayer’s income.

Example 6:

Goldi, from Examples 3 and 5, chose not to use the de minimis safe harbor rules because, based on her business model, she would benefit from partial capital gain treatment under IRC section 1231 when she sells cull cows that had been purchased as replacement heifers. Goldi consistently sells 60 cull cows annually, which she purchased as replacement heifers. She sells these animals as cull cows for an average of $1,250. In the year Goldi placed these heifers into service, she capitalized the $750 per heifer and used IRC section 179 expensing to reduce her income and self-employment tax.

In the year of disposition, Goldi recaptures the $750 of depreciation allowed subject to ordinary income tax rates. However, the $500 of gain (sale price above initial purchase price) is an IRC section 1231 gain, which is treated as a capital gain if it is a net gain. Since Goldi averages 60 cull cows, she has approximately $30,000 of gain which is subject to the preferential capital gain income tax rates. If Goldi’s taxable income is in the 15% ordinary income tax bracket, this $30,000 of income escapes taxation and is “income tax free.” Similarly, if Goldi’s taxable income is within the 25–35% tax brackets then the 15% rate of tax on capital gains would apply. Therefore, it is prudent for farmers and ranchers who have a business model similar to that which is described in the Goldi Lockes example to have a discussion with their tax professional to determine the best long-term decision relative to using the de minimis safe harbor election.

IRS Publications

To access IRS Publications, go to www.irs.gov and click on “Forms and Publications.” Then click on “Publication number” under “Download forms and publications by.” Type the publication number in the find box to search for the publication. Publications may be viewed online or downloaded by double clicking on the publication.

Additional Topics

This fact sheet was written as part of Rural Tax Education, a national effort including Cooperative Extension programs at participating land-grant universities, to provide income tax education materials to farmers, ranchers, and other agricultural producers. For a list of universities involved, other fact sheets, and additional information related to agricultural income tax, please see RuralTax.org.